

10/28/88

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CASE: GS0100

Chlorpyrifos FRSTR

page 1 of 4

CONT-CAT: 01 GUIDELINES 72-4

MRID: RIOCHP05

Jarvinen, A.W., Tanner, D.K., 1982, Toxicity of Selected  
Controlled Release and Corresponding Unformulated Technical  
Grade Pesticides to the Fathead Minnow (Pimephales promelas)  
Environmental Pollution (Series A) 27:179-195

REVIEW RESULTS: VALID X INVLAID \_\_\_\_\_ INCOMPLETE \_\_\_\_\_

GUIDELINES:

SATISFIED \_\_\_\_\_ PARTIALLY SATISFIED \_\_\_\_\_ NOT SATISFIED X

DIRECT REVIEW TIME 1 HOURS

START DATE: 9/1/88 END DATE: 9/30/88

REVIEWED BY: Daniel Rieder

TITLE: Wildlife Biologist

ORG: Ecological Effects Branch

LOC/TEL: CM2, Room 809, 557-1451

SIGNATURE: Daniel Rieder

10.21.88

APPROVED BY: Norman J. Cook

TITLE: Head, Section 2

ORG: Ecological Effects Branch

LOC/TEL: CM2, Room 809, 557-0322

SIGNATURE: Norman J. Cook

11.8.88

from references  
all supplementary  
no new data

4 Day Flowthrough Acute and

32 Day Embryo-larvae studies under same MRID

R10CHP05

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

2 of 4  
R10CHP05

1. Chemical: Chlorpyrifos
2. Test Material: Dursban (tech); Dursban 10 CR (10 percent ai)
3. Study Type: 32-day Embryo Larvae and Flow-through 4-day LC<sub>50</sub> on Fathead Minnow.

4. Study ID: Jarvinen, A.W., Tanner, D.K. 1982.  
Toxicity of Selected Controlled Release and  
Corresponding Unformulated Technical Grade  
Pesticides to the Fathead Minnow Pimephales  
promelas. Environmental Pollution (Series A)  
27 (1982), 179-195.

5. Reviewed by: Miachel Rexrode  
Fishery Biologist  
HED/EEB

*Miachel Rexrode*  
Signature:  
Date: 4/9/85

6. Approved by: Norm Cook  
Section Head  
HED/EEB

Signature: *Norman Cook*  
Date: 5-16-85

7. Conclusions:

This study appears to be scientifically sound, but can not be verified for registration at this time. Results were presented in summary form; replicate data were not provided. However, results on Dursban (tech) and Dursban 10 CR suggest that fathead minnow growth and survival were significantly affected. No-effect levels were calculated at  $>0.0016$  to  $<0.0032$  mg/l and  $>0.0022$  to  $<0.0048$  mg/l, respectively.

↑  
tech

10CR

8. Recommendations:

Findings should be verified by EEB. Therefore, raw data (replicate data) must be submitted to this office. With submission and acceptance of the raw data this study might be considered for core status to support the technical and this specific formulation.

2

9. Methods and Materials:

Flow-through 4-day acute and 32-day embryo-larval studies were conducted in a mini dilater system similar to one described by Benoit. This system was modified so that both CRP (Controlled Release Pesticide) and the technical grade could be tested simultaneously in duplicate. Experimental fish chambers held a water volume of 500 ml and the flow rate of each chamber was 15 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, maintaining dissolved oxygen levels at > 75 percent saturation. A 99 percent water replacement occurred in each chamber within 3 hours. A constant 16-hr photoperiod was maintained. Water was obtained from Lake Superior, sterilized with ultraviolet light and maintained at 25 °C. Water chemistry was as follows: dissolved oxygen levels, 6.5 to 8.4 mg/l; total hardness, 45 mg/l alkalinity, 43.1 mg/l; pH, 7.4 to 7.8.

Fathead minnow eggs less than 24-hour old were obtained on spawning tiles from the Environmental Research Laboratory - Duluth Fish Culture Unit. Twenty newly hatched larvae were randomly added to each fish chamber for the 4-day flow-through studies. In 4-day static tests ten newly hatched larvae were randomly placed in beakers of toxicant. In embryo-larval studies fifty eggs were randomly assigned to embryo cups.

10. Reported Results:

Toxicity of technical grade Dursban remained similar with time, with static 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> = 0.17 to 0.15 mg/l whereas the encapsulated formulation became less toxic, the 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> increasing from 0.13 to 0.28 mg/l. Flow-through 96-hr LC<sub>50</sub> for Dursban (tech) was 0.14 mg/l and for Dursban 10 CR was 0.12 mg/l.

11. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation:

These tests appear to be scientifically sound, but will not support registration at this time. Data cannot be verified by EEB since results are presented in summary form.

However, results of Dursban (tech) and Dursban 10 CR 32-day embryo-larval studies suggested that growth and survival were significantly affected. A "no effect" level for the technical was calculated at 0.0016 to 0.0032 mg/l and for the encapsulated formulation was 0.0022 to 0.0048 mg/l. Unquantifiable behavioral (lethargy) changes were noted, at lower concentrations.

12. Category: Supplemental. Possibly upgradable to core for technical material and this specific formulation.